



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,051	08/24/2000	Masahiko Kato	SANSH5.639A	1961	
	590 10/10/2002		<u>-</u> .		
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE. CA 92614			EXAMINER		
			MILLER, CARL STUART		
ikvine, ca	9201 4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3747		
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-
1/

	Application No. Applicant(s)		KATO					
Office Action Summary	09/657, 05/ Examiner		Group Art Unit	<u> </u>				
Office Action Sommeny	Examiner							
	willer	L	3747					
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet ber	neath the con	respondence ad	ddress				
Period for Response	,	1						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH((S) FROM THE					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaul Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statutory t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	minimum of thir om the mailing d	ty (30) days will be	considered timely.				
Status								
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·				
☐ This action is FINAL.								
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	2							
(Claim(s)/-28	laim(s)/ - ≥ 8							
Of the above claim(s)	is/are wi	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
□ Claim(s)	is/are all	_ is/are allowed.						
□ Claim(s)	is/are rej	is/are rejected.						
□ Claim(s)	is/are ob	_ is/are objected to.						
(Claim(s) 1-28		are subject to restriction or election						
Application Papers		requirem	nent.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆	disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)								
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under the large of the la	priority documents hav	e been	·					
*Certified copies not received:			·					
Attachment(s)								
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 🗆 Inte	erview Summa	ary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Otl	her						
Office Action Summary								

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figures 1, 7 and 10, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 13, 24, 25 and 28 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Carl S. Miller
Primary Examiner